

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

ddress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO 09/340,618 06/28/99 KATZ R 244/067-(664 **EXAMINER** WM01/0604 RONALD A. KATZ TECHNOLOGY LICENSING, L.P WEAVER, S 9220 SUNSET BLVD. **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SUITE 315 LOS ANGELES CA 90069 2645 DATE MAILED: 06/04/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

1- File Copy





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/340,618

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Scott L. Weaver

Art Unit **2645**

Katz

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	e cover sneet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO E. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period communication.	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will by will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 22, 2001	·
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is	non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qu	• •
Disposition of Claims	
4) X. Claim(s) 16-18 and 30-51	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>16-18 and 30-51</u>	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	
2. U Certified copies of the priority documents have been	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bureau (PC *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certification.	CT Rule 17.2(a)).
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20)	Other:

Art Unit 2645

Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments filed 3/22/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

2. Claims 16-18, and 30-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 16, 30, 41, the preamble of the claims presents that the subject matter is a process, system, or method (respectively) "for executing formats", however, neither the steps nor the means as presented clearly accomplishes the goal of the preamble that being "for executing formats", thus it is not clear that the claim limitations as presented are complete as the suggested purpose of the claims i.e. "for executing formats..." does not accomplish the purpose of the preamble as presented, it is not clear what 'formats' are relating to with respect to the remainder of the claim limitations which follow nor is it clear as to the execution thereof.

Conclusion

3. Due to the nature of the confusion noted above in paragraph 1, the definite patentability of such claims as presented can not be determined at this time, however, the claims as presented do not appear to read on any particular prior art of record in the parent application. The prior art made of record in parent application 09/128,936 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The IDS papers filed 5/23/01 are of record in the application.

Serial No. 09/340,618

-3-

Art Unit 2645

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott L. Weaver whose telephone number is (703) 308-6974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang, can be reached on (703) 305-4895.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

SCOTT L. WEAVER
PRIMARY EXAMINER